

REGULATIONS OF THE INTERNATIONAL GEOPOLITICAL COMPETITION

Prepared pursuant to Resolution No. 01/2018 of the Management Board of the Polish Geopolitical Society - January 2nd, 2018.

CHAPTER I.

Organization of the International Geopolitical Competition

§ 1 Legal basis

The legal basis for the conduct of the International Geopolitical Competition (hereinafter: the IGC) is the resolution 01/2018 of the board of the Polish Geopolitical Society (hereinafter: PGS) of January 2nd, 2018, operating on the basis of the statute and the Law on Associations.

§ 2 Organizer

The organizer of the IGC is the nationwide scientific association Polish Geopolitical Society (KRS 0000325431) based in Krakow. Address: ul. Maj. Łupaszki 7/26, 30-198 Kraków (correspondence address), Internet address: www.ptg.edu.pl E-mail address: ptg@ptg.edu.pl

§ 3 Co-organizers

1. The organizer cooperates with scientific and social institutions dealing with issues related to the subject of the IGC.
2. The patronage over the IGC may include Polish and international public and private institutions.
3. Ministries, universities, research institutes, and sponsors may be invited to co-organize the IGC.

§ 4 Stages and nature of the IGC

1. The IGC competitions are individual.
2. The IGC competitions are cyclical. Successive editions of the IGC are numbered starting with the 1st edition.
3. The IGC competitions are nationwide staged, and if there is interest from PGS's foreign partners – internationally staged:
 - a) Stage I - initial qualifications;
 - b) Stage II - individual qualifications;
 - c) Stage III - final competition.

§ 5 Participants and terms of participation

1. The IGC is organized for pupils, undergraduate and graduate students and doctoral students, as well as for graduates interested in the subject related to the IGC. Persons over 30 or holding a doctorate are not admitted to the IGC as participants, but support it organizationally.

2. The organizer allows foreign pupils, students and doctoral students to participate in the IGC, provided that they consent to a possible arrival at the final competition in Poland, which will be held in Polish, with possible simultaneous translation into the students' native language or English.
3. Members of the organs of the IGC may not participate in the competition.
4. The IGC participants are required to comply with the Regulations and the IGC schedule (Appendix 4) and sign a declaration of reading the Regulations and consent to the processing of personal data for organizational purposes of the IGC (in the case of underage students, consent is signed by parents or guardians) - Appendix no. 1), send a copy of the current student, doctoral or student ID card and a portrait photo.
5. Participants of the IGC cover the costs of organizing the IGC by making a donation to PGS in the amount determined by the Board of PGS by way of a resolution each year, from the resources of the represented school or from their own resources. The proof of payment should be attached to the application.
6. The organizer does not cover the costs of travel and stay at the venue of the competition.

§ 6 Applications for the IGC

1. Application for the IGC is done electronically by the school or participant personally by submitting an Application Card along with proof of donation payment and a photo to olimpiada@ptg.edu.pl.
2. Acceptance of the application and enrolment on the list of participants shall be confirmed via e-mail.
3. The deadline for applications for a given edition of the IGC is the end of February each year. After that, a full list of applicants is published on the PGS website within 7 days.

CHAPTER II

Organs of the IGC and their competences

§ 7 Organs of the IGC

The organs of the IGC are:

1. The Organizing Committee (hereinafter: OC);
2. The Scientific Council of the PGS
3. The Audit Committee of the PGS

§ 8 Competences and composition of the OC

1. The Organizing Committee is appointed from among the members of the PGS by the President of the PGS. The OC is headed by a member of the PGS Board responsible for the affairs of the IGC.
2. OC consists of:

- (a) a member of the PGS Executive Board responsible for the affairs of the IGC, as the president of OC
- (b) a member of the PGS designated to uphold compliance with these Regulations.
- (c) the Secretary of the PGS , as the member responsible for receiving applications.
- (d) a member of the Media Board, responsible for promoting the IGC.
- e) the Treasurer (finance board member) of the PGS, as the member responsible for cost accounting and financial handling of the IGC.
- (f) a member of the Convening Board, responsible for the organisation of Stage III of the IGC.
- g) the President of PGS, responsible for the establishment of OC, cooperation of the OC with the Scientific Council and the Reviewing Committee of PGS, deciding on the amount of donation as a condition of participation, and considering applications for exemption from the 1st stage of the IGC.

3. In addition to its organizational role, the responsibilities of the Main Committee include, in particular:

- (a) the promotion and representation of the IGC, including establishing cooperation with external partners in the organisation of the IGC;
- b) supervising the course of the IGC at each stage, including making decisions on the venue and organisation of the IGC stages, and on the evaluation of the theses and tests;
- c) announcement of the results of the IGC and awards presentation to the winners and finalists of the IGC;
- d) disqualification of a participant of the IGC in the case of test failure, use of prohibited aids, plagiarism or violation of any other point of the Regulations of the IGC;
- (e) keeping records of the IGC.

§ 9 Competencies and PGS Scientific Council composition

1. The Scientific Council and its composition shall be appointed on the basis of the PGS Statutes.
2. The members of the Scientific Council shall hold office:
 - (a) advisory to the OC .
 - b) prepare a list of obligatory literature for Stage II and Stage III of the IGC - Appendix 2. Only on the basis of this list may questions for the finals and the individual stages be arranged, unless otherwise provided.
 - c) prepare questions and tasks for tests in Stages II and III of the IGC.
 - d) reviewing and jurying in the first stage of the IGC.
3. The Scientific Council shall be headed by a Chairperson.
4. The Scientific Council shall operate on the basis of separate regulations.

5. The Scientific Council may cooperate with the Chairpersons of the PGS Thematic Commissions in arranging of the competition questions.

§ 10 Competencies and PGS Audit Committee composition

1. The Audit Committee and its composition shall be appointed on the basis of the PGS Statutes.
2. The Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing appeals of the participants or at the request of the OC in the case of irregularities found.
3. Reports of irregularities or appeals should be submitted to the PGS by the email : ptg@ptg.edu.pl.
4. The report of irregularity or appeal must contain a full description of the irregularity and evidence of the irregular activity.
5. The application must be signed by the applicant and include an email correspondence address.
6. The report of irregularity or appeal shall be considered within 7 days from the date of the report, and after justification in case of appeals, the verdict on the resolution of the issue shall be announced to the PGS President, the OC Chairman and the reporting person. He may include a decision to reject the appeal, to allow the appeal and admit to further play, to allow the appeal and admit to the following year's play at the same stage.

CHAPTER III

Stages of the IGC

§ 11 Stage I - Pre-qualification

1. Stage I of the IGC takes place from the closing date for receipt of entries i. e. 1 March to 30 April - as set out in Appendix 4.
2. The first stage competition consists in writing an essay on current or last year's international events and sending it to the organiser at the email address olimpiada@ptg.edu.pl by the deadline specified above. Failure to submit a essay will result in negative points and failure to qualify for Stage II, with no recourse. Acceptance of the written work is confirmed by email as soon as it is received.
3. Exempted from Stage I and proceed immediately to Stage II are:
 - a) independent authors of published peer-reviewed scientific monographs or independent scientific articles reviewed in publications from the list of journals of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education, after submitting a copy of the article in electronic form.
 - (b) non-commissioned officers and non-commissioned officers of the Polish Army and the Border Guard, including cadets in active service on the day of their registration, after submitting a copy of the relevant pages of their book or other documentary evidence.
 - c) participants of Stage III and participants of Stage II who entered it without exemption from Stage I in previous editions of the IGC.

d) members of PGS with at least 2 years of experience in the Association(Society) and with active involvement in PGS on the basis of a decision of the President of PGS until 1 March of a given year.

e) persons from outside the PGS dismissed by the decision of the President of the PGS based on special circumstances until 1 March of a given year.

4. The written work referred to in Section 2 is assessed on the basis of meeting the criteria:

a) editorial - identical to the current recommendations of the "Geopolitical Review" available at: <http://przeglad.org/zasady-publikowania/> from which you can receive from -9 to 9 points.

b) substantive - identical with current recommendations of PGS available on the website: <http://ptg.edu.pl/kryteria-oceny-prac-z-zakresu-spraw-miedzynarodowych/> from which one can receive between -36 and 36 points. The total score of the paper ranges from -45 to 45 points.

5. The written essay, both the editorial and substantive part referred to in point 2, each is evaluated by two reviewers from the Scientific Council of PGS on the form (Appendix 5) within 7 working days of the completion of Stage I according to the formula of double-blind peer review (both the participant does not know the names of the reviewers, as well as the reviewer does not know whose essay they are reviewing). Obtaining a negative number of points from the assessment of the editorial part disqualifies the work from further substantive assessment. The final grade is the sum of the average number of points from both reviewers obtained in both parts.

6. The highest-scoring papers receive an honorable mention through publication in the "Geopolitical Review" or the "European Journal of Geopolitics"

7. The authors of written works who obtained a positive number of points and those who were exempted from Stage I qualify for Stage II of the IGC.

8. Announcement of the results by sending a message to the participants and publication on the website shall be made by May 10 of a given year.

§ 12 Stage II - individual competition

1. All parts of Stage II take place entirely remotely via email.

2. Stage II consists in solving on one's own the test prepared by OC and the Scientific Council (60 minutes are allocated for solving the test and sending a scanned or photographed form), and then performing subsequent parts of the stage after qualifying for them.

3. During the test and further parts of Stage II any sources may be used, in particular notes, books, atlases, Internet sources, which are the responsibility of the participant. It is forbidden to conduct conversations, including telephone conversations, chatting over the Internet and receiving and writing text messages, as the work is to be independent. It is forbidden to copy answers from other participants.

4. Breaking the prohibitions expressed in point 3 is connected with obtaining 0 points in Stage II of the IGC based on the decision of the OC member in charge. This can apply to both the person copying the answers and the person giving the copy.

5. Prior to the test, an answer form is sent to participants, which must be printed, signed with name and starting number.

6. For international participants, questions may be translated into English or their native language. The questions and answers in each language are the same
8. The first phase of Stage II takes place at the same time and on the same day for everyone. Polish time applies.
9. Participants answer the test questions by writing Xs in the appropriate boxes ordered from A to F, one for each question. The participant gets 1 point for correctly putting an X in the right box. For no answer 0 points and for an incorrect answer -1 point.
10. Designated OC members on the day of the test:
 - (a) independently check the test papers according to the answer sheet;
 - (b) award points to the participants in the IGC;
 - (c) draw up the minutes (Appendix 6) and communicate the results to the participants;
 - (d) archive the test work of participants.
11. A participant who has scored a positive number of points on the test qualifies for further phases of Stage II
12. The second phase of Stage II is a geography and armamentarium quiz. Its participants receive 20 pieces of maps of strategic locations. Their job for a week is to identify what those places are. They receive an additional 1 point for each name they guess during the week. Participants also receive 20 photo excerpts of contemporary weaponry. Their task for one week is to identify the weapon and write the name of its country of origin. Contestants receive 0.5 p for each weapon's name and country of origin correctly identified during the week. Contestants can receive 40 additional points to their Stage II scores in this phase (20 each for the geography and weapon parts). 64 participants with the highest total score so far move on to the next part.
13. The third phase is geopolitical duels. If less than 64 participants have qualified for this phase their place is taken by a free ticket. Participants each create 6 questions (accepted earlier by the jury) which they ask to a drawn opponent and also receive 6 questions from that opponent. Questions must be about geopolitics and not focused on the contestant's country of origin. For each question, post the correct answer based on open-source scientific sources, including the name of the book/journal and the page where the question is answered. Answers are checked by the OC members. Participants have 24 hours to respond to their opponent's questions and prepare their response in a short written form. The jury evaluates the answers and awards points and the right to the next duel to the one who answered more questions in the pair. There are 64 contestants in the first round, 32 contestants drop out after the first round and receive as many extra points in Stage 2 as the number of questions answered. Participants who draw a free ticket move on without receiving points. The 32 participants then compete against each other, with 16 participants dropping out and receiving as many points as the number of answers they got correct answers after the 1st and 2nd series of questions. You also get as many points as many correct answers you give in the next series. There are 16 participants entering the third round, then 8, 4, and 2. Their scores are totaled from the test, team competition and quizzes. The winner also receives an additional 5 points for the last duel. After this part, the list of 20 finalists is announced.
14. The OC qualifies to the central stage the top 20 participants who obtained consecutively the best aggregate score in Stage II from all its parts.

15. Separate prizes or distinctions may be awarded to the top participants in terms of the number of points earned in Stage II in a given competition. Separate awards may also be given to the best in each phase of Stage II.

16. Finalists receive free attendance at the annual Polish Geopolitics Convention conference in that year.

§ 13 Stage III - The final competition

1. Stage III (final) of the IGC is conducted by OC at the headquarters of the field division, which in a given year has been entrusted with the organization of the Congress of Polish Geopoliticians on the eve of that conference in accordance with the schedule of the Olympiad.

2. The final stage consists of three parts:

a) Part I - written, consists in solving the test prepared by the Organizer (60 minutes are allocated for solving the test);

b) Part II - oral, in which participants give individual oral answers to the drawn questions;

c) Part III - practical, consists in team cooperation in a strategic game prepared by the Organizer within the thematical scope range of the IGC.

3. There will be breaks between each part.

4. The final results of the central stage (The IGC Final) are determined by the total number of points obtained by each participant in the written, oral and practical parts. A maximum of 10 points is obtained from each part.

5. In each part 10 points are given to the best person in that part and each next person gets one point less. The last 10 Finalists score 0 points in a section. 0 points are also awarded in the stage II of the Finals to those with a negative test score, and in the second and third stages after elimination from the game.

6. In the written part, the number of points earned in the test ranks individuals from best to worst, and does not affect the scores awarded in the final. The course of this part is the same as that of the test in Stage II, but the use of sources other than your own knowledge is not permitted.

7. In the oral part, the tutor asks each Finalist the first three questions in turn. The Finalist who fails to answer any of them is out of the competition. The rest keep 1, 2 or three chances. The oral part is accompanied by the competition on the map of Poland, on which location is chosen before the start of the competition. The place on the map is chosen in order of the points scored in stage II starting with the best. Only neutral areas can be occupied during the first three questions. Those who drop out first 10 get 0 points from the oral part, the others get points depending on the order of dropping out or territory held at the end of the game. Correct answers on the previously prepared map board are rewarded with territory enlargement. The growth of the territory depends on the jury's assessment. Each participant receives his/her colour on the map displayed on the projector prepared by the Organizer. Strategic points (cities) of medium and high importance are marked on the map. Each medium city gives the holder 1 point, large cities 2 points. Each participant, chooses any starting city to build their empire on the map displayed. It also features obstacles like mountain ranges, bodies of water and more. Participants may report an alliance or non-aggression pact. Scoring each area involves answering questions drawn by the instructor. If the answer is correct, the participant obtains all

bordering neutral or enemy territory. The game ends when the allotted time for the game is over or the number of prepared questions is exhausted. Dropping out of the game is associated with the loss of the third chance. When the game ends, participants are ranked according to the number of points they have for their cities on the map. And those who fell by the number of the queue in which they fell. On this basis, points are allocated in the Stage III classification for participation in the oral part. The oral part may be filmed.

8. The practical part consists in applying geostrategic knowledge in a simulated battle. It is presented on a simplified map using a projector and computer or on a board using pawns. Everyone gets the same number of troops. The participants' armies have to complete certain assigned objectives and survive. You have to draw 5 missions and undertake at least two of them. Participants give simplified commands verbally to each of their units, passing them to the leader one at a time while fighting in a duel of 10 against 10 participants. Assignment to the game is random. Stage III scores are based on the number of units retained and missions completed. After 5-15 turns (depending on the time allotted) the game ends. Each participant has 1 minute per turn to give commands orally. Skirmish results are calculated using a system developed by PGS. On the basis of the result of the practical part, a classification is created by which points are awarded for this part. The practical part may be filmed.

9. Detailed rules and regulations of the oral and practical competitions are presented on the day of the competition.

10. The OC on the day of the central competition:

- a) checks the test papers of the participants;
- b) awards points for participants' oral responses;
- c) awards points to participants for the practical part;
- d) determines the final results of the central stage by summing up the points from the test, the oral part and the practical part;
- e) draws up a protocol (appendix no. 7) and gives the results to the participants;

CHAPTER IV

Awards and entitlements

§ 14 Laureate and Finalist titles

1. Three participants of the central stage of the IGC, who obtained the highest total number of points, are awarded the status of the IGC laureates. In the event of an equal number of points obtained by more than three participants, the Main Committee may award Laureate status to more participants.

2. The remaining participants of the Central Stage of the IGC will be awarded the title of Finalists of the IGC, provided they come to the competition.

§ 15 Certificates, awards and distinctions

1. Laureates and Finalists are entitled to receive an appropriate certificate confirming their title.

2. PGS awards the "Geopolitics and Geostrategy" badge to participants and organizers:

a) "diamond" - to the president of PGS and chairman of the OC for the organization and proper conduct of the IGC.

b) "emerald" - to OC members who have made outstanding organizational efforts

c) "ruby" - to members of the PGS Scientific Council and the Review Commission of PGS who have incurred outstanding organizational efforts

d) "gold" – to the Laureates of the IGC.

e) "silver" - to the Finalists of the IGC who obtained 10 or more points in the stage III of the IGC, but did not obtain the title of Laureate.

f) "bronze" - to the Finalists of the IGC, who obtained from 3 to 9 points in the stage III of the IGC.

The badge comes with an appropriate ribbon for uniforms. The design of the ribbon and the badge was adopted by the PGS Board by resolution. The list of decorated persons is published and updated annually on the PGS website. You can only receive a ribbon of a particular color once in your lifetime.

3. PGS keeps a record of certificates and awards presented.

4. Laureates receive prizes funded by PGS, sponsors and partners of the IGC.

5. Finalists and other participants may also receive prizes donated by sponsors and partners of the IGC.

6. Laureates give interviews in front of the camera to be placed on the PGS channel on internet services if they wish so.

7. All participants of the final competition can attend the Congress of Polish Geopoliticians free of charge.

8. Awards and diplomas ceremony takes place on the first day of the Congress of Polish Geopoliticians.

9. Obtained certificates entitle to apply for credits in scholarship competitions for students organized by relevant ministries..

CHAPTER V

The content of the IGC

§ 16 Subdisciplines of geopolitics from which questions can be drawn

1. The participants of the IGC are expected to have knowledge in broadly defined geopolitics as an interdisciplinary field of knowledge on the borderline between political science, geography, international relations, military science and national security. The above knowledge is complemented by the issues of geostrategy and geoeconomics.
2. Detailed topics of the IGC are included in the thematic and expert committees of PGS given on the website : <https://ptg.edu.pl/komisjetematyczne/>

§ 17 Recommended literature

1. The recommended literature consists of book publications, atlases, journal articles presented in the form of a list as Appendix 2.
2. Appendix 2 constitutes the complete list for the preparation of the tests in Stages II and III and the oral part of Stage III. Nothing outside of these publications is entitled to be addressed in the above questions unless other specific regulations provide otherwise.
3. In the second stage, the participant has the right to use the available publications and the Internet.
4. The participant may freely supplement his/her knowledge with other publications and supplementary literature.

CHAPTER VI

The Schedule of the IGC

§ 18 Editions of the IGC

In 2018, the first edition of the IGC was held, and in subsequent years next numbered editions took place.

§ 19 Timetable

1. The following timetable for the IGC is set out as Appendix 4 and is declared as binding.
2. In the event of a change of date or venue of the IGC finals, the Organiser shall be obliged to inform the participants enrolled at least 7 working days before the stage in question.
3. Under special circumstances, the IGC may be held on other dates after informing participants one month in advance.

Appendix 1. Declaration of being familiar with the Regulations of the IGC and granting permission to process personal data for organizational purposes of the IGC.

1 . Participant's personal data :

Name and Surname :.....

Place of residence :.....

Participant's age :..... E-mail address.....

Represented School/University:.....

Date of application and payment of donation for the organization of the IGC
:.....

Space for pasting a portrait photograph (if not attached as a JPG):

1. Statements:

- I declare my participation in this year's edition of the IGC,
- I know and accept the Regulations of the IGC,
- I agree to the processing of my personal data in accordance with the Act of 29 August 1997 on the protection of personal data (Dz. U. 1997 r. Nr 133 poz. 883 z późniejszymi zmianami), (OJ 1997 No. 133 item 883 as amended)

.....

(date and legible signature of the participant)

I consent (required for an underage participant) to participation

.....

(date and legible signature of the legal guardian)

2. Attachments: (delete as appropriate)

- a. a portrait photo (as a . JPG)
- b. confirmation of entry fee payment
- c. school/university diploma (scan or photo)
- d. (optional) document confirming the right to exemption from Stage I

Appendix 2. List of recommended literature:

1. Examples of primary textbooks from world literature:

- Z. Brzezinski, The grand chessboard;
- Z. Brzezinski, The Choice: Global domination or global leadership;
- C. Flint, Introduction to geopolitics;
- G. Friedman, The next 100 years. A forecast for the 21st century;
- S. Huntington, Clash of civilizations;
- P. Kennedy, The rise and fall of the great powers ;
- H. Kissinger, Diplomacy, P. Wilson
- C. Jean, Geopolitics;
- I. Okunev, Political geography

2. Geographical atlases

3. Journals: „Geopolitical overview”, „European Journal of Geopolitics”;

4. Books awarded by PGS in Geopolitical Book of the Year competitions published on the website: <https://ptg.edu.pl/konkursy/>

5. National literature, including academic textbooks

Appendix 3. (CANCELLED)

Appendix 4. IGC schedule.

1st January – 7th March: participants' notification

1st January- 1st March: participants' notification

2nd March- 6th March: verification of applications and eligibility for direct promotion to Stage II

7th March: announcement and publication of the list of participants

7th March- 30th April: Stage I of the IGC

30th April : deadline for submitting essays

10th May : announcement of the results of Stage I and information about the date and time of the Stage II test.

1st June-12th July: Stage II of the IGC

The first Saturday of June - district competition (written test) of the IGC stage II

10th June : public announcement of the results of Stage II test and the list of participants qualified to the next parts

20th June: Stage II quiz

28th June: Stage II quiz results

3rd July: deadline for submitting proposals for questions for the geopolitical duels of the second stage and for the acceptance of questions by the jury

5th July: the start of the geopolitical duels of the Stage II

12th of July: announcement of the list of finalists and the results of the Stage II

1st December-8th December: Stage III of the IGC

First Saturday of December: final competition - The Stage III.

Sunday after the final competition: public announcement of laureates and presentation of awards at the Congress of Polish Geopoliticians. End of the IGC.

Appendix 5. Stage I work evaluation form.

academic work reference number.....

Title of the academic work:.....

Reviewer 1 number :

Reviewer 2 number :

Editorial part:

Evaluation criteria:	Score rev. 1:	Score rev. 2:
1. Volume (10-20 pages: 1 p. , other: -1 p.)		
2. Correctness of language (correct English or French: 2 points, correct Polish: 1 point, several irrelevant errors in English or French: 0 points; several irrelevant errors in Polish: -1 point; serious linguistic errors including spelling: -2 points)		
3. Summary in English and key words (correct: 1 point, to be corrected: 0 points, missing: -1 point)		
4. Editorial criteria from 5 points of PG's "Publishing Rules" (correct: 1 p. , minor errors: 0 p. incorrect: -1 p.)		
5. Maps and figures for the article - technical side (correct and original: 2 pts, correct, copied from other sources: 1 pts, with mistakes: 0 pts, none: -1 pts)		
6. List of literature (compiled correctly and abundantly: 1-2 p. , compiled incorrectly or poorly: 0 p. , none, or the websites themselves: -2 p.)		
7. Author's note and statements required by PG editors (is: 0 p. , missing -1 p.)		
POINTS SUM – EDITORIAL PART:		
	Average score:	
	qualification for substantive part (V/X)	

Substantive part:

Evaluation criteria:	Score rev. 1:	Score rev. 2:
1. Originality of the topic (topic not yet mentioned in PG and EJG and no one else raised it: 3 p. , topic present in PG or EJG but no one else raised it: 2 p. original topic, up to three other people raised it: 1 p. , commonly known topic: 0 p. , topic not related to current or recent events, or not related to the subject of the Olympiad: -3 pts)		
2. Convergence of the content with the topic (there is: 1 p, partial: 0 p, none: -1 p)		
3. Compliance of the subject area with the requirements of the Olympiad §16 of the Regulations (is: 1 point, is not: -1 point)		

4. The work composition and the ratio of the volume to the topic (correct: 1 point, with reservations: 0 points, artificial dilution of the content [so called 'water-jacking'] or significant deficiencies: -1 point)		
5. Selection of topics of subsections (proper: 1 p. , weak: 0 p. , inappropriate: -1 p.)		
6. Proportion of composition (appropriate 1 p. , poor: 0 p. , unbalanced: -1 p.)		
7. Hypotheses (main hypothesis and supporting ones appropriate: 2 p. , only main hypothesis and no supporting ones or analytical work: 1 p. , poorly chosen hypotheses: 0 p. ,none: -2 p.)		
8. Methods of geopolitical analysis (properly chosen: 2 pts, appropriate but misapplied: 1 pts, too simple, too weak: 0 pts, not appropriate at all, or none at all: -2 pts)		
9. Introduction (there is convention and reference to theory: 2 p. , no convention or reference to theory: 1 p. , no and convention and reference to theory: -1 p. , completely misunderstood convention or theory or no introduction: -2 p.)		
10. References to theoretical scientific works (present: 1 p. , absent: -1 p.)		
11. Statistics (there is a citation: 1 p. , there is but outdated/bad: 0 p. , no statistics at all: -1 p.)		
12. Methodology of statistical data analysis (correct inference: 1 p. , incorrect inference or none: -1 p.)		
13. Summary (is consistent with introduction, assumptions and theme, with hypotheses: 3 p. , missing one of the three above: 0-2 p. , no summary: -3 p.)		
14. Selection of sources (>10 scientific items: 2 p. , 5-10 scientific items: 1 p. , <5 scientific items, or most are journalistic sources: 0 p. , no adequate scientific sources: -2 p.)		
15. Foreign language sources (>5: 1 p. , 1-5: 0 p. , none -1 p.)		
16. Narration (beautiful and clever: 2 p. , only clever: 1 p. , weak or difficult: 0 p. , quite difficult to understand or no cause and effect sequence: -2 p.)		
17: Coherence of work (is: 1 p. , unnecessary digressions: 0 p. , chaotic work: -1 p.)		
18. Quality of conclusions drawn from the summary (clever: 2 pts, unrelated to results but clever: 1 pts, poor: 0 pts, missing or untrue: -2 pts)		
19. Query and data used (use of primary sources, literature, internet sources, statistical databases, graphics: 3 pts, 4/5 above: 2 pts, 3/5 above 1 pts, 2/5 above: 0 pts, only one source, or no reliable sources: -4 pts)		
20. Use of computer applications (used: 1 p. , not used: 0 p.)		
21. Graphics (maps, drawings, tables, charts and infographics: 1 point for each type of the above graphics, no graphics: 0 points)		
22. Plagiarism (none: 0 points, copied fragments: -2 points, over 20% of the work is plagiarism: -4 points, over 30% of the work is plagiarism: disqualification).		

POINT SUM – SUBSTANTIVE PART		
	Average score:	
	Summary points from both parts:	

Qualification for the second stage:.....

Decision of dictinction:.....

Appendix 6. Protocol of the dictrict stage test of the IGC (model).

....., date.....

PROTOCOL

from the 2nd stage test of the IGC

The OC composed of:

1. (chairman)
2.
3.

conducted on(date) the test part of the 2nd stage of the IGC ,
which was attended by..... participants.

Results of the 2nd stage:

L.p.	Name and Surname	School/University	Points	Promotion*
1.				
2.				
3.				
4.				
5.				
6.				
7.				
8.				
9.				
10.				

(*fills in the OCGO: YES for participants who are qualified by OC for further stages)

.....

(signature of the District Comission's Chairman) (signatures of the Disctrict Commission's members)

Appendix 7. Protocol of the final stage of the IGC. (model).

....., date:.....

PROTOCOL

on the course of the 3rd stage of the IGC

The Organising Committee of the IGC appointed the stage jury in persons of:

1..... (chairman)

2.....

3.....

4.....

5.....

6.....

7.....

and conducted on(date) the 3rd stage of the IGC which was attended by/..... participants.

Results of the 3rd stage:

L.p.	Surname and Name	School/University	District	Points				Title*
				writing part	oral part	practical part	Σ	
1.								
2.								
3.								
4.								
5.								
6.								
7.								
8.								
9.								
10.								
11.								
12.								
13.								
14.								
15.								
16.								
17.								
18.								
19.								
20.								

(*fill in : LAUREATE, FINALIST of the central stage, mark with G, S and B those who receive a gold, silver or bronze award, mark with X those who do not receive an award)

Signature of the chairman of the jury of 3rd stage of the IGC

Signature of the OC chairman

Signature of the PGS chairman PGS stamp: